

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/217,740		12/21/1998	MIN CAO	10961260-1	4031
22878	7590	04/22/2002			
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. P.O. BOX 7599				EXAMINER	
				OWENS, DOUGLAS W	
	M/S DL429 LOVELAND, CO 80537-0599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2811	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 09/217,740

Art Unit: 2811

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent No. 4,685,198 to Kawakita et al.

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 5, Kawakita et al. teaches a semiconductor isolation structure comprising (Fig. 2j):

a substrate;

a first and second device formed within the surface of the substrate;

an isolation region between the first and second devices, the isolation region comprising:

a deep region, comprising an oxide (42) with a cross sectional area;

a shallow region which extends to the surface of the substrate, the shallow region comprising:

a protective outer wall (30) adjacent the substrate;

an inner sealing wall (32) exclusively within the shallow region and adjacent the protective outer wall;

wherein the shallow region has a shallow cross-sectional area; and

Application/Control Number: 09/217,740

Art Unit: 2811

Page 3

the deep region has a cross-sectional area that is greater than that of the shallow region.

Regarding claims 4 and 6, Kawakita teaches an isolation structure, wherein the protective outer wall comprises an oxide and the inner sealing wall comprises a nitride.

# Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed January 24, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., one deep region and one shallow region per isolation structure, or non-continuous deep regions of oxide) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- 5. The applicant argues that the isolation structure taught by Kawakita et al. is positioned amongst and underneath the devices as opposed to being positioned between devices. Figure 2j depicts the isolation structure taught by Kawakita et al. positioned between two MOS transistors, the purpose of isolation structures being to isolate structures that are usually formed in the same plane on a semiconductor substrate.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2811

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Staven Loke
Primary Examinor